1	Wylie A. Aitken, State Bar No. 37770		
2	wylie@aitkenlaw.com AITKEN◆AITKEN◆COHN		
3	3 MacArthur Place, Suite 800 Santa Ana, CA 92808		
4	Telephone: (714) 434-1424 Facsimile: (714) 434-3600		
5	Lexi J. Hazam, State Bar No. 224457		
6	lhazam@lchb.com LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN		
7	& BERNSTEIN, LLP 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339		
8	Telephone: (415) 956-1000		
9	Facsimile: (415) 956-100		
10	Stephen G. Larson, State Bar No. 1452 slarson@larsonllp.com	25	
11	LARSON, LLP 600 Anton Blvd., Suite 1270		
12	Costa Mesa, CA 92626 Telephone: (949) 516-7250 Facsimile: (949) 516-7251		
13			
14	Interim Settlement Class Counsel		
15	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT C	OURT
15	UNITEDSIATE	b bis inter c	OURI
16	CENTRAL DISTR		
	CENTRAL DISTR		
16	CENTRAL DISTR	ICT OF CALIF	
16 17	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et	ICT OF CALIF	
16 17 18	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et al.,	ICT OF CALIF RN DIVISION Case No. 8:21 DECLARAT	-CV-01628-DOC(JDEx) ION OF WYLIE A.
16 17 18 19	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et al., Plaintiffs,	ICT OF CALIF RN DIVISION Case No. 8:21 DECLARAT AITKEN IN MOTIONS F	FORNIA -CV-01628-DOC(JDEx) ION OF WYLIE A. SUPPORT OF OR FINAL
16 17 18 19 20	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et al., Plaintiffs, V.	ICT OF CALIF RN DIVISION Case No. 8:21 DECLARAT AITKEN IN S MOTIONS F SETTLEMEN OF DISTRIB	FORNIA -CV-01628-DOC(JDEx) ION OF WYLIE A. SUPPORT OF OR FINAL NT APPROVAL, PLANS SUTION, AND
16 17 18 19 20 21	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et al., Plaintiffs, v. AMPLIFY ENERGY CORP., et al.,	ICT OF CALIF RN DIVISION Case No. 8:21 DECLARAT AITKEN IN S MOTIONS F SETTLEMES OF DISTRIB ATTORNEY	FORNIA -CV-01628-DOC(JDEx) ION OF WYLIE A. SUPPORT OF OR FINAL NT APPROVAL, PLANS UTION, AND S' FEES AND COSTS
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et al., Plaintiffs, V.	Case No. 8:21 DECLARAT AITKEN IN S MOTIONS F SETTLEMES OF DISTRIB ATTORNEY Date: Time:	-CV-01628-DOC(JDEx) ION OF WYLIE A. SUPPORT OF OR FINAL NT APPROVAL, PLANS SUTION, AND S' FEES AND COSTS September 14, 2023 8:30 a.m.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et al., Plaintiffs, v. AMPLIFY ENERGY CORP., et al.,	ICT OF CALIF RN DIVISION Case No. 8:21 DECLARAT AITKEN IN MOTIONS F SETTLEMEN OF DISTRIB ATTORNEY Date:	-CV-01628-DOC(JDEx) ION OF WYLIE A. SUPPORT OF OR FINAL NT APPROVAL, PLANS SUTION, AND S' FEES AND COSTS September 14, 2023
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et al., Plaintiffs, v. AMPLIFY ENERGY CORP., et al.,	Case No. 8:21 DECLARAT AITKEN IN S MOTIONS F SETTLEMES OF DISTRIB ATTORNEY Date: Time: Judge:	-CV-01628-DOC(JDEx) ION OF WYLIE A. SUPPORT OF OR FINAL NT APPROVAL, PLANS SUTION, AND S' FEES AND COSTS September 14, 2023 8:30 a.m. David O. Carter
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et al., Plaintiffs, v. AMPLIFY ENERGY CORP., et al.,	Case No. 8:21 DECLARAT AITKEN IN S MOTIONS F SETTLEMES OF DISTRIB ATTORNEY Date: Time: Judge:	-CV-01628-DOC(JDEx) ION OF WYLIE A. SUPPORT OF OR FINAL NT APPROVAL, PLANS SUTION, AND S' FEES AND COSTS September 14, 2023 8:30 a.m. David O. Carter
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	CENTRAL DISTR SOUTHE PETER MOSES GUTIERREZ, JR., et al., Plaintiffs, v. AMPLIFY ENERGY CORP., et al.,	Case No. 8:21 DECLARAT AITKEN IN S MOTIONS F SETTLEMES OF DISTRIB ATTORNEY Date: Time: Judge:	-CV-01628-DOC(JDEx) ION OF WYLIE A. SUPPORT OF OR FINAL NT APPROVAL, PLANS SUTION, AND S' FEES AND COSTS September 14, 2023 8:30 a.m. David O. Carter

I, Wylie A. Aitken, declare:

- 1. I am a founding partner of the law firm of Aitken Aitken Cohn ("Aitken"), and serve as Court-appointed Interim Settlement Class Counsel ("Class Counsel") for the Plaintiffs in this action. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration based on my day-to-day participation in the prosecution and settlement of this case, and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently to them.
- 2. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs' motions for final approval of the proposed Settlement and for approval of the Plans of Distribution, as well as Interim Settlement Class Counsel's motion for an award of attorneys' fees, expenses, and class representative service awards.

A. <u>Settlement Approval</u>

- 3. Since being appointed Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel (Dkt. 38), my co-counsel and I have personally supervised and directed every aspect of the prosecution and resolution of this litigation on behalf of the Plaintiffs and the Settlement Classes.
- 4. In my judgment, Class Counsel have the skill and experience to judge the strengths and weaknesses of the case based on the significant discovery conducted to date, and as a result of complex mediation sessions that involved detailed mediation statements and supporting exhibits addressing liability and damages, including expert reports, rebuttal declarations, and rebuttal expert reports. As the mediator reported, "[t]he work that went into the mediation statements and competing presentations and arguments was substantial." Dkt. 739-4 (Declaration of Layn Phillips in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval) ¶ 6.
- 5. It is my judgment and the judgment of all Class Counsel that the proposed Settlement is an excellent result, readily meets the Rule 23 "fair, reasonable, and adequate" standard, and is in the best interests of the Classes. Further, the Plans of Distribution represent a fair and equitable allocation of the

settlement proceeds grounded in the classwide damages models Plaintiffs' experts developed over the course of many years, and that Class Counsel were prepared to present at trial.

B. Lodestar and Expenses

- 6. My firm and our fellow Class Counsel litigated this case on a purely contingent basis, foregoing other work in order to handle this complex matter with no guarantee of recovery. While Class Counsel request attorneys' fees as a percentage of each common fund, for the Court's reference, I report Aitken's and associated Class Counsel's summary time, lodestar, and costs incurred in this litigation and for the benefit of the settling Classes.
- 7. Plaintiffs seek fees and expenses at this time only for work that was performed in furtherance of litigation against the Shipping Defendants and settlement thereof. Class Counsel seek fees and expenses primarily for work that they performed or authorized to be performed that post-dates the Settlement with Amplify, in addition to work before that date that specifically relates to the Shipping Defendants. The lion's share of Class Counsel's time and expenses submitted in support of this motion date from October 17, 2022 (the date Plaintiffs filed their motion for preliminary approval of the Amplify settlement, *see* Dkt. 476) through the date of this motion. Class Counsel have taken care not to submit any time in support of this motion that was submitted in support of the fee requested for the Amplify settlement, to avoid any double-counting.
- 8. All Aitken time-keepers are required to contemporaneously record their time in 6-minute increments. Attorneys working under my supervision audited my firm's time records to confirm their accuracy. This included removing any time relating only to the settlement with Amplify and certain hours as a matter of billing judgment.
- 9. Aitken allocated work to maximize efficiency. To the extent practicable, senior attorneys did not perform work that could be accomplished by

more junior attorneys, and attorneys did not perform work that could be completed by paralegals.

- 10. Aitken billed the same rates for the litigation and settlement related to the Shipping Defendants as the rates this Court approved related to the Amplify settlement. *See* Dkt. 726 at 12-13. These hourly rates charged by Aitken fall within the range of market rates charged by attorneys of equivalent experience, skill, and expertise. Aitken's rates reflect the market rates in the markets within which Aitken's primary offices are located and from which this matter has been handled—namely, Santa Ana and Riverside. Except in rare circumstances, Aitken does not bill at different rates for different clients or different types of cases.
- 11. Federal courts have approved rates comparable to the rates requested here. *See, e.g., Hefler v. Wells Fargo & Co.*, No. 16-CV-05479-JST, 2018 WL 6619983, at *14 (N.D. Cal. Dec. 18, 2018) (rates from \$650 to \$1,250 for partners or senior counsel, \$400 to \$650 for associates); *In re Volkswagen "Clean Diesel" Mktg., Sales Practices, & Prod. Liab. Litig.*, No. 2672 CRB (JSC), 2017 WL 1047834, at *5 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 17, 2017) (billing rates ranging from \$275 to \$1600 for partners, \$150 to \$790 for associates, and \$80 to \$490 for paralegals found to be reasonable).
- 12. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct summary lodestar chart which lists: (1) the name of each Aitken timekeeper who recorded time in this case; (2) their title or position; (3) the total number of hours they worked on the case; (4) their current hourly rate; and (5) their lodestar. As reflected in Exhibit 1, the total number of hours expended in support of the litigation against and settlement with the Shipping Defendants by Aitken on behalf of the Classes is 872.5. The total lodestar for my firm for that period is \$958,597.50.
- 13. Attorneys with four other firms also performed work at Class Counsel's direction on behalf of the Classes. Attached to the concurrently filed declaration of Stephen G. Larson as Exhibits 2-5 are, for each such firm, (1) the

2.2.

name of each timekeeper who recorded time in this case; (2) their title or position; (3) the total number of hours they worked on the case; (4) their current hourly rate; and (5) their lodestar. In sum, those four firms performed the following hours and lodestar in support of the litigation and settlement with the Shipping Defendants:

Exhibit to Larson	<u>Law Firm</u>	Hours	Lodestar
<u>Declaration</u>			
2	McCune Law Group	1,195.5	\$748,775.00
3	Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group	179.7	\$92,099.00
4	Milberg Coleman Bryson Phillips	169.1	\$95,626.40
	Grossman, PLLC		
5	Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP	36.3	\$19,062.50

- 14. Class Counsel maintained a Common Fund for expenses incurred during the course of this litigation, which was managed by Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein LLP. The three Interim Co-Lead Counsel firms all made contributions to the Common Fund at periodic intervals as costs were incurred. Lieff Cabraser maintained the books and records for the Common Fund and disbursed monies to cover case expenses as needed. Class Counsel have taken care not to submit any expenses in support of this motion that were submitted in support of the costs requested for the Amplify settlement, to avoid any double-counting.
- 15. Aitken separately spent \$815.36 in connection with the prosecution and settlement of the case against the Shipping Defendants. The expenses are presented in summary form in Exhibit 2, which was generated from my firm's books and records. These expenses primarily consist of travel and printing. These expenses were reasonably and necessarily incurred in Class Counsel's efforts to prosecute this case. The expenses here are in line with expenses Aitken has incurred in other large, complex class action lawsuits it has successfully prosecuted over the years, and are the type typically billed by attorneys to clients.

16. Three of the other firms that performed work at Class Counsel's direction also advanced costs in connection with the prosecution and settlement of this case, as reflected in Exhibits 7-9 attached to the concurrently filed declaration of Stephen G. Larson. In sum, those three firms advanced the following costs in support of the litigation and settlement with the Shipping Defendants:

Exhibit to Larson Declaration	<u>Law Firm</u>	Expenses
7	McCune Law Group	\$15,013.41
8	Aqua Terra Aeris Law Group	\$3,753.49
9	Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP	\$2.20

- 17. These expenses were advanced by Aitken and the other firms working on behalf of the Classes with no guarantee of recovery. As a result, Class Counsel had a strong incentive to keep costs to a reasonable level and did so.
- 18. I expect Class Counsel's hours will increase through final settlement approval and settlement administration, meaning that any multiplier that Class Counsel receive on their lodestar will continue to decrease over time.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on July 31, 2023, in Anaheim, California.

DocuSigned by:	
Wylie Aithen	
8871BF85627741C	

EXHIBIT 1

AITKEN AITKEN COHN				
Time and Lodestar Summary				
PARTNER				
NAME	HOURS	RATE	TOTAL	
Wylie A. Aitken	435.9	1250	544,875.00	
Darren O. Aitken	114.1	975	111,247.50	
Megan G. Demshki	240.3	975	234,292.50	
Michael A. Penn	65.7	975	64,057.50	
TOTAL			\$954,472.50	
PARALEGAL				
NAME	HOURS	RATE	TOTAL	
Jennifer Smith	16.5	250	4,125.00	
TOTAL			\$4,125.00	
MATTER TOTALS	872.5		\$958,597.5	

EXHIBIT 2

Aitken, Aitken, Cohn

<u>Expenses</u>

AAC Costs Incurred by Category	Cost
Mileage/Travel to Depositions &	
Hearings	\$243.01
Parking at Depositions & Hearing	\$101.50
Printing & Delivery	\$470.85
TOTAL	\$815.36